

FAQ SHEET

- LAYERS OF PROTECTION ANALYSIS (LOPA)

Acronyms and Abbreviations Used

ANSI	-	American National Standards Institute
IPL	-	Independent Protection Layer
ISA	-	International Society for Automation
LOPA	-	Layers of Protection Analysis
PHA	-	Process Hazard Analysis
QRA	-	Quantitative Risk Analysis
SIS	-	Safety Instrumented System

What is LOPA?

It is a simplified risk assessment method. It provides a method for evaluating the risk of hazard scenarios and comparing it with risk tolerance criteria to decide if existing safeguards are adequate, and whether additional safeguards are needed. Various LOPA methods are available.

LOPA does *not* suggest which safeguards to add or which design to choose but it does assist in deciding between alternatives.

LOPA can be viewed as an extension of Process Hazard Analysis (PHA). Typically, it is applied after a PHA has been performed. LOPA builds on the information developed in the PHA.

Why was LOPA developed?

In PHA, subjective engineering judgement is used to identify the need for additional safeguards. This can lead to disagreements and possibly the implementation of inappropriate measures to reduce risk. It was recognized that a more rational and objective approach was needed.

Can you tell me more about layers of protection?

Process designers use a variety of protection layers, or safeguards, to provide a defense in depth against catastrophic accidents. They are devices, systems or actions that are capable of preventing a scenario from proceeding to an undesired consequence or mitigating the consequence. For example, they may be:

- Inherently safe design features
- Physical protection such as relief devices
- Post-release physical protection such as fire suppression systems
- Plant and community emergency response
- Safety Instrumented Systems (SISs)

Ideally, such protection layers should be independent from one another so that any one will perform its function regardless of the action or failure of any other protection layer or the initiating event. When they meet this criterion they are called Independent Protection Layers (IPL). Not all safeguards meet the independence requirements to be classified as an IPL, although all IPLs are safeguards. For example, two standby pumps that are both electrically powered do not fail independently in the event of loss of power.

LOPA addresses safeguards that are IPLs. Such safeguards include SISs, also called interlocks and emergency shutdown systems. SISs are addressed by the standard ANSI/ISA S84.00.01-2004, Functional Safety: Safety Instrumented Systems for the Process Industry Sector, called S84 herein, which can include the use of LOPA. More recently, the international standard, IEC 61511-1:2016, Functional Safety - Safety Instrumented Systems for the Process Industry Sector, has been issued.

What is involved in LOPA?

Individual hazard scenarios defined by cause-consequence pairs are analyzed and the protection layers for each scenario are examined for their effectiveness. Scenario risk is determined by combining the overall frequency of a scenario with the severity of the consequence for the scenario and compared against risk tolerance criteria to determine if additional risk reduction is required to reach a tolerable level.

Scenario frequency is determined by combining the initiating event frequency, IPL failure probabilities, and the probabilities of enabling events/conditions and conditional modifiers. Enabling events or conditions do not directly cause the scenario but must be present or active for the scenario to proceed, for example, the process being in a particular mode or phase. Commonly considered conditional modifiers are the probability that released flammable / explosive material will ignite, the probability that an individual will be present to be exposed to a hazard, and the probability that an exposed individual will actually be impacted. Order of magnitude estimates are used for frequencies, probabilities and consequence severities.

Consequence severities usually are estimated qualitatively by assignment to severity

levels or categories that typically differ by an order of magnitude.

How do risk tolerance criteria help?

Without risk tolerance criteria, there is a tendency to keep adding safeguards in the belief that the more safeguards added, the safer the process. This can be a false assumption. Eventually safeguards will be added that are unnecessary. This reduces the focus on safeguards that are critical to achieving tolerable risk. Unnecessary safeguards also add complexity that may result in new, unidentified hazard scenarios.

LOPA helps focus limited resources on the most critical safeguards.

How does LOPA relate to Quantitative Risk Analysis (QRA)?

LOPA adds simplifying assumptions for the numerical information used. The simplifications are intended to be conservative so that QRA would show less risk for a scenario than LOPA.

How should I conduct PHA's to facilitate LOPA?

LOPA studies will be easier to conduct if the following issues are addressed during the PHA:

- Clarify initiating events, i.e. causes of hazard scenarios
- Provide sufficient scenario detail
- Express consequences in a form compatible with LOPA
- List all safeguards before deciding if they are IPLs
- Record and identify candidate IPLs, i.e. safeguards
- Consider identifying enabling events / conditions and conditional events
- Rank hazard scenarios so they can be screened for LOPA
- Flag recommendations for additional IPLs

Are there other applications of LOPA?

Yes. It can be extended to many situations involving risk-informed decision making including:

- Design
- Capital improvement planning
- Management of change
- Evaluating facility siting risk
- Mechanical integrity programs
- Identifying operator roles
- Incident investigation
- Emergency response planning
- Bypassing a safety system
- Determining the design basis for over-pressure protection
- Determining the need for emergency isolation valves
- Screening tool for QRA
- Physical and cyber security

Can you summarize what LOPA does and doesn't do?

LOPA addresses the need for protection layers. There are three issues for protection layers:

- How safe is safe enough?
- How many protection layers are needed?
- How much risk reduction should each layer provide?

Risk tolerance criteria must be established for LOPA and they address the first issue. LOPA helps decide how much risk reduction is needed and how many protection layers should be used. It does not help decide what specific IPLs should be used.

Why should I perform LOPA?

- Provides an objective, rational and defensible basis for recommendations to install or not install safeguards after a PHA has been performed.
- Meets the requirements of the S84 / IEC 61511 standards for SISs. Note that OSHA expects compliance with S84.
- Provides the basis for a clear, functional specification for SISs.

How can I get more information?

Contact Primatech at:

50 Northwoods Blvd.
Columbus, OH 43235
Tel 614-841-9800
Fax 614-841-9805
www.primatech.com

About Primattech

Primattech specializes in Process Safety, Security and Risk Management. We offer consulting, training, software and certifications to assist our clients in identifying and reducing the risks posed by toxic, flammable, and explosive materials.

Companies in a variety of industries choose Primattech to help them manage the risks posed by such hazardous materials. We help companies reduce the likelihood and consequences of releases, which helps protect employees and the public and prevent damage to equipment and the environment. Reducing these risks also improves productivity and quality. We help companies comply with OSHA's Process Safety Management (PSM) standard, EPA's Risk Management Program (RMP) regulation, and industry guidelines.

Our capabilities include:

- **Process Hazard Analysis (PHA)**
- **Layers of Protection Analysis (LOPA)**
- **Compliance Audits and Program Assessments**
- **PSM Program Development and Implementation**
- **RMP Program Development and Implementation**
- **Process Security Management**
- **Threat and Vulnerability Analysis for Deliberate Acts Including Terrorism**
- **Safety Instrumented Systems**
- **Operating and Maintenance Procedures Development**
- **Mechanical Integrity Program Development and Implementation Guidance**
- **Human Factors and Human Error Analysis**
- **Facility Siting Analysis**
- **Quantitative Risk Assessment**
- **Emergency Response Program Development and Implementation Guidance**
- **Expert Witness Testimony and Litigation Support**

Primattech's clients are often Fortune 500 companies but also include medium and smaller sized companies. We specialize in serving the process industries, and have served hundreds of industrial facilities throughout the world.

- Aerospace
- Agricultural chemicals
- Bulk/commodity chemicals
- Cold storage warehousing
- Electronics manufacturing
- Food processing
- Hazardous waste treatment
- Inorganic chemicals
- Mining
- Municipal water treatment
- Oil and gas production and distribution
- Oil and gas pipelines and terminals
- Organic chemicals
- Paints, coatings, resins and adhesives
- Petrochemicals
- Petroleum refining
- Pharmaceuticals
- Polymers and resins
- Propane storage and distribution
- Pulp and paper
- Rubber and plastics
- Semiconductors
- Specialty metals
- Steel
- Wastewater treatment

Our services and products enable our clients to achieve their risk, safety and security objectives faster and easier. Primattech is an independent company with no vested interests and is seen, therefore, to deliver work recognized as objective and unbiased.